


Webinar 3: Social Protection - Adapting to COVID-19

The Use of Cash & Markets in the Red Cross Red Crescent Movement – 3rd June 2020



ICRC/Red Crescent / 19/06/20

	Agenda	Speaker
2 mins	Housekeeping	David Dalgado - Host
5 mins	Introduction – Social Protection	David Peppiatt - Director of Humanitarian Cash Assistance, British Red Cross
17 mins	SP & CVA activities in COVID19	Orhan Hacimehmet – Cash-based Assistance Coordinator, Turkish Red Crescent (Türk Kızılay)
5 mins	SP & CVA activities in COVID19	Glenn Francis , Director of Montserrat Red Cross
10 mins	SP & CVA activities in COVID19	Danger Nhlabatsi - Secretary General and Siphelele KB Mkhonta , National Disaster Management Coordinator of Baphalali Eswatini Red Cross Society (BERCS)
5 mins	Linking Humanitarian CVA and Social Protection	Marga Ledo - British Red Cross CVA/HES roster delegate / previously Cash and Social Protection TWG Chair / previously IFRC Cash, Livelihoods and Social Protection Adviser
15 mins	Questions and Answers	Speakers joined by Glenn Hughson , Social Protection Focal Point, ICRC & Andra Gulei , Senior FSL Advisor, British Red Cross & Social Protection TWG Co-Chair

David Peppiatt - Director of Humanitarian Cash
Assistance, British Red Cross

Stop sharing so David Peppiatt video can be seen



4 Million

People under Temporary &
International Protection
(75 Nationalities)



TÜRKİYE



Turkish Red Crescent (TRC) - Response During COVID-19



SOCIAL SERVICES



IMMIGRATION AND
REFUGEE SERVICES



DISASTER
MANAGEMENT



INTERNATIONAL
SERVICES

National

Number of People
Reached

13.254.589

Branches and
Representatives

432

International

Number of People
Reached

967.000

Number of
Countries

31



- National coverage and capacity
- Auxiliary Role
- Strong linkage to the Social Protection Systems

Different delivery tools



Bank Cards

Direct Money Transfer



E-voucher



In-kind



Services



TRC - KIZILAYKART Cash Based Assistance Programmes

In Camp
Food Assistance
Programme

Sector: Food

55.777



Emergency Social
Safety Net (ESSN)
Programme

Sector: Basic Needs

1.711.613



Conditional Cash
Transfer for Education
(CCTE) Programme

Sector: Education

614.542



~ 2,4 Million

April 2020 Beneficiaries

Adult Language
Training (ALT)
Programme

Sector: Education

32.432



Vocational Course
Incentive (VCI)
Project

Sector: Livelihood

1.873



Vocational
Training Incentive
(VTI) Project

Sector: Livelihood

57



Completed Projects



Supporting
Survivors of
Human Trafficking
in Turkey (SSHT)
Project

Sector: Protection

23



Vocational
Course
Allowance (VCA)
Project

Sector: Livelihood

111




Kitchen of
Hope
Project

Sector: Livelihood

122



TRC - KIZILAYKART – Relation with Stakeholders




TRC - KIZILAYKART Cash Based Assistance Programmes

Emergency Social Safety Net (ESSN) Programme TURKEY STATISTICS / April 2020

Total Number of Beneficiaries (April 2020)

300.827 Household - 1.711.613 Individual

Beneficiaries Breakdown by Provinces



Beneficiaries
0,5B - 1B 1B - 5B 5B - 20B 20B - 60B 60B - 120B 120B+

Eligibility Gender Breakdown

Kadın 51%
879.124

Erkek 49%
832.489

Eligibility Age Breakdown

0-17 60%
1.027.143

18-59 37%
634.525

+60 3%
49.945

Eligibility Criteria Breakdown

Elderly people above 60 with no other adults in the family. 0,4%

Families that have a high number of 'dependents'. This is determined as families that have at least 1.5 dependents for ... 23,3%

Families with four or more children. 55,6%

Families with one or more disabled people. They must have a disability of 40% or more. 9,1%

SASF Allowance 1,7%

Single female. 0,8%

Single parents with no other adults in the family and at least one child under 18. 9,1%

Nationality Breakdown of Beneficiaries


Syria 89,0% (1.522.873)

Iraq 6,8% (115.727)

Afghanistan 3,5% (60.018)

Iran 0,2% (3.551)

Eligibility Age Distribution




Conditional Cash Transfer For Education (CCTE) Programme TURKEY STATISTICS / March 2020

Total Number of Beneficiaries Entitled for Payment as of March 2020

614.542

Beneficiaries by Provinces





3-100 100-1.000 1.000-5.000 5.000-10.000 10.000+

Top 10 Provinces with Most No. of Beneficiaries

İSTANBUL	13% (82.734)
GAZİANTEP	13% (77.817)
HATAY	11% (64.993)
ŞANLIURFA	9% (56.428)
ANKARA	6% (34.021)
ADANA	5% (29.724)
BURSA	4% (27.415)
MERSİN	4% (25.600)
KONYA	3% (20.720)
İZMİR	3% (20.365)


Gender Breakdown

Girl		305.476
	50%	
Boy		309.066
	50%	

Nationality Breakdown

Syria	85% (522.417)
Iraq	9% (53.598)
Afghanistan	5% (28.498)
Iran	1% (3.870)

ESSN-CCTE Breakdown



COVID-19 IMPACT ASSESSMENT



The pandemic does not impact the use of Kızılaykart.



69% of people reported that they lost their job due to COVID-19




96% of households indicated *no difficulties* in using the Kızılaykart



78% of households reported facing an increase in expenses

to cover additional costs like food and hygiene items.



81% of households do not *face difficulties* in accessing markets



82% of households have increased debt



70% of children enrolled in school can access online curriculum



Photo credit: Turkish Red Crescent

IMPACT OF COVID-19

ON REFUGEE POPULATIONS BENEFITTING FROM THE EMERGENCY SOCIAL SAFETY NET (ESSN) PROGRAMME

Assessment report



NOW
DISCOVER 

www.platform.kizilaykart.org

#CardLoadedwithGoodness





MontserratRedCross

THE MONTSERRAT RED CROSS C.A.R.E. FOR OUR CHILDREN PROGRAM



COVID19 THE JOURNEY In Montserrat

Early to Mid Feb: St Patrick's Festival; guests begun to arrive;

Mid March: schools closed; Festival cancelled; first suspected case confirmed;

Late March: economic activity shut down for 2 weeks; curfew imposed;

Mid May: Lock down begin to be lifted in phases

End May: island declared virus free; Most businesses resumed operation except bars night clubs gyms etc; all restrictions have been lifted except a curfew from 8pm to 5am; social distancing guidelines still in place but schools remain closed;

There were 11 active cases in total; 1 case went back to his/her home country; 1 died; all others recovered in their homes.



1989 HURRICANE HUGO



CATASTROPHIC VOLCANIC EVENT 1995/6

MRC CASH HISTORY

MONTSERRAT RED CROSS C.A.R.E. FOR OUR CHILDREN PROGRAM

*RESTRICTED VOUCHER PROGRAM

*CRITERIA

*COLLABORATION WITH SOCIAL SERVICES
DEPARTMENT (SSD)

*LESSONS LEARNED FROM SSD ROLL OUT



CHALLENGES SO FAR

DATA UNAVAILABLE FOR ACCURATE
PLANNING PARTICULARLY
REGARDING PROJECT COST

MANAGING OUR OWN CRITERIA

RAISED EXPECTATIONS

BAPHALALI ESWATINI RED CROSS SOCIETY

Danger Nhlabatsi- Secretary General
&

Siphelele KB Mkhonta- Disaster
Management Coordinator



Country Context



- Food insecurity due to drought prior to Covid19
- HIV/AIDS scourge still a challenge
- Not enough resources to support food distributions
- Social protection grants through banks and cash in hand
- Social protection staff delivering cash in envelopes
- High cost on personnel and logistics to use traditional methods
- NS, WFP and WV doing cash transfers in response to Food Security
- NS engaged with NDMA on advantages of cash transfers over in-kind

Covid 19 and cash transfers

- Precautions due to Covid 19- lockdown, meeting restrictions to (less than 20 people)
- Changes to Govt social protection grants (elderly and disabled)
- Cash transfers (Mobile money) instead of cash in envelopes
- Mobile money to vulnerable people affected by Covid 19 (Target 59 constituencies; above 300,000 people).
- As of 1st June, 29 constituencies already covered.



Role of NS in Advocating for Cash transfers




- Lobbying Members of Parliament towards cash than in kind
- Meetings with National Disaster Management Agency(NDMA) and Deputy Prime Minister's Office Social protection department on use of cash transfers
- Dissemination to MPs and Key government officials such as Regional Administrators, Principal Secretaries on Red Cross work
- Inviting Government officials to observe the cash disbursement processes
- Sharing of lessons learnt from previous Cash transfers with NDMA, Social protection officials
- Collaboration with UN Agencies such as WFP, who helped advocate for the cash transfers (WFP, 2016/17)



Design Tweaks

Adjusting the design of routine social protection interventions



Piggybacking

Use an existing programme's infrastructure



Vertical Expansion

Temporarily increase the value or duration of benefit for existing recipients



Horizontal Expansion

Temporarily increase the number of recipients in an existing programme



Alignment

Align with other current or planned interventions

LINKING HUMANITARIAN CASH AND SOCIAL PROTECTION SYSTEMS

Slide Notes copied here: - Options for using social protection to respond to shocks

Source: Shock-Responsive Social Protection Systems Toolkit, OPM (2018). Link <https://www.opml.co.uk/files/Publications/a0408-shock-responsive-social-protection-systems/srsp-toolkit.pdf?noredirect=1>

THERE ARE FIVE MAIN OPTIONS FOR ADAPTING SOCIAL PROTECTION PROGRAMMES TO MAKE THEM BETTER AT RESPONDING TO SHOCKS. THESE ARE

1. **'DESIGN TWEAKS'**: making small adjustments to the design of routine social protection interventions.
2. **'PIGGIBACKING'**: using elements of an existing social protection programme or system while delivering a separate emergency response.
3. **'VERTICAL EXPANSION'**: temporarily increasing the value or duration of benefits for existing beneficiaries.
4. **'HORIZONTAL EXPANSION'**: temporarily increasing the number of recipients in an existing social protection programme.
5. **'ALIGNMENT'**: aligning social protection and/or humanitarian interventions with one another.

Questions and Answers –
Social Protection related will be prioritised
Please post in Chat

*This slide will now be closed so that the video of those
responding to questions can be seen*